

United States Patent and Trademark Office

th

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/872,962	06/01/2001	James M. Reuter	P01-3663	4878
25235 7.	590 . 06/17/2005		EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP ONE TABOR CENTER, SUITE 1500 1200 SEVENTEENTH ST			NGUYEN, TRONG NHAN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, CO 80202			2152	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/872,962	REUTER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jack P. Nguyen	2152		
_				

	Jack P. Nguyen	2152	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	· ·		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• '	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See attached Office Action.			ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13.			
		Dung C. D	inh

Application/Control Number: 09/872,962

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Applicant's response after Final filed on 5/25/05.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments in the request for reconsideration filed on 5/25/05 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. As newly raised by Applicant (i.e., the arguments were not raised in the amendment filed on 11/24/04), Applicant asserts Blumenau does not disclose or suggest "... an agent coupled to the host, the agent having volatile memory for storing the first table, the table having entries to map the virtual storage segments to the storage locations." As previously addressed in the Office Action, Blumenau explicitly discloses a host controller (61, fig. 4; host controller is functionally equivalent to an agent as claimed) coupled to the host (22, fig. 4), the host controller storing a first table (fig. 30, col. 30, lines 46-55; the host controller stores a virtual table mapping of the data, as indicated by logical unit numbers 'LUN', to the data volumes (26, fig. 1) stored on the storage subsystem (20, fig. 1; col. 8, lines 23-29)). As previously indicated in the previous Office Actions (and was not objected by the Applicant), Blumenau does not explicitly disclose using volatile memory to the store the tables. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use volatile memory (e.g., random access memory or 'RAM') in computing devices to store temporary data because it would ensure any old, residual data stored in memory is erased and refreshed with new, updated data when the computing device is first powered on. Therefore, the teachings disclosed by Blumenau would have motivated

Application/Control Number: 09/872,962

Art Unit: 2152

one of ordinary skill in the art to use volatile memory because of its advantaged as discussed above. Blumenau further discloses both the storage subsystem (20, fig. 1) and clients (22, 23, fig. 1) keep records (in table formats) of where the data is stored for retrieval and/or access (col. 30, lines 46-55; col. 32, lines 43-47; the data mapping tables stored on the storage subsystem uses non-volatile memory and can be referred to as second table). Since copies of the data tables are stored in plurality of locations as indicated above, a host, via its host controller, can have the contents of its table be replaced or updated by the contents of the subsystem as disclosed by Blumenau in col. 32, lines 43-47 and also as claimed by Applicant as, "...a controller coupled to the agent, the controller having non-volatile memory for storing a second table, the controller intermittently causing the contents of the first table to be replaced by the contents of the second table."

Applicant asserts Blumenau does not suggest or disclose, "...a host accesses one of the entries in the table stored on the agent to determine one of the storage locations and plurality of variables indicating states of entry...turning off input/output operations at the first storage location." Blumenau does explicitly disclose the host, via its host controller as stated above, uses its storage mapping tables to access data stored in the storage subsystem (col. 44, lines 48-51, 55-64; host can see the virtual storage mapping on the storage subsystem and can access data stored the storage subsystem). Examiner interprets 'plurality of variables indicating states of the entry' to mean that various states of the table entry mapping; i.e., the host identifies the data block that is mapped to a storage location on the storage device. Thus Blumenau

Application/Control Number: 09/872,962

Art Unit: 2152

discloses the volume access (via input/output 'I/O' operations) and mapping information of the virtual storage locations (indicated by virtual port host table (281, fig. 23)) identify the mapping of data to the virtual storage locations of the storage device (col. 26, lines 25-34). As per the second assertion, as previously stated in the office action, when a particular storage location (e.g., a specific data block) is busy performing input/output 'I/O' or read/write operations at that location, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform I/O operations at another storage location to avoid interference with the function being performed at the busy location or have to wait until the process is finished before performing those mentioned functions.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2152

Jpn

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack P Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner